

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.USDIO.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/831,797	08/14/2001	Klaus Kwetkat	MULLER-26	9977		
7590 02/10/2005			EXAM	INER		
C James Bushman			DELCOTTO, GREGORY R			
Browning Bush Suite 1800	man		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
5718 Westheimer			1751	1751		
Houston, TX 77057-5771			DATE MAILED: 02/10/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/831,797	KWETKAT ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Gregory R. Del Cotto	1751			

	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Gregory R. Del Cotto	1751						
_	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE	THE REPLY FILED 10 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. [The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aband Iment, affidavit, or other evidence, val fee) in compliance with 37 CFR or e reply must be filed within one of the	donment of this applic which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque	ication in st for Continued					
	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
have under set fo may i	extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2.	The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appewas filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per NDMENTS	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	is of the date of filing	the Notice of					
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause					
ა. ഥ	(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			00000					
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		, ,						
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
	appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.						
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		cotoa ciaimo.						
4 [The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(· · · · · / ·					
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
J	non-allowable claim(s).	novable ii dabiinted iii a deparate,	annoly mod dimension						
7. 🗵	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of					
	Claim(s) allowed:	ı							
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,7-14,28 and 33-37.								
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
	IDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•					
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and					
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).					
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.					
	UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
	The request for reconsideration has been considered by Applicants arguments are not sufficient to overcome the been maintained for the reasons of record.	e rejection(s) set forth in the Office a	action mailed 10/5/04	nce because: which have					
	☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s) lo(s).	0.1					
13. [☐ Other:		Gregory R. Del Col Primary Examiner	itte					
			Art Unit: 1751	•					

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's newly submitted amendment raises issues not previously presented which would require further consideration and/or search. For example, Applicant has deleted "acylglutamates" from the Markush group of claim 1, and claim 1 now contains a Markush group of surfactants not previously presented in any dependent claims which would require further consideration and/or search.